ENGINEERING GUIDE

How to Reduce CNC Machining Cost

A practical guide to design optimization, material selection, tolerance strategy, and sourcing decisions.

Reduce machining time, rework, and total procurement cost—without compromising part function or quality.

For mechanical engineers, product developers, project managers, procurement leaders, and supply-chain teams.

Unionfab

Precision CNC Machining 3D Printing & Finishing

www.unionfab.com

EXECUTIVE OVERVIEW

Cost Reduction Starts Before the Machine Starts

A high CNC quotation does not automatically mean a supplier is overpriced. In many projects, the largest cost drivers are already embedded in the design, drawing, material specification, inspection plan, and sourcing strategy.

Core principle

Effective CNC cost reduction is not about squeezing supplier margin. It is about removing unnecessary material, machining time, setups, tooling, inspection, finishing, and communication risk.

Who This Guide Is For

- Mechanical engineers and product-development engineers
- Industrial designers and engineering project managers
- Procurement managers and supply-chain teams
- Automotive, robotics, industrial equipment, medical-device, and consumer-electronics companies
- Teams sourcing prototypes, low-volume production, bridge production, or recurring CNC orders

Typical Cost Escalators

Cost escalator	Why it increases cost
Difficult or long-lead materials	Higher stock cost, slower cutting, longer procurement lead time, or greater tooling wear
Tight tolerances applied everywhere	More finishing passes, measurement, temperature control, rework risk, and supplier contingency
Deep cavities, small radii, and deep holes	Longer tools, slower feeds, poor chip evacuation, vibration, and breakage risk
Multiple part orientations	More setups, re-zeroing, fixtures, labor, accumulated error, and scrap risk
Unnecessary surface finishes	Extra subcontracting, masking, inspection, dimensional change, and minimum-order charges
Conflicting or incomplete files	More engineering clarification, quote assumptions, revision risk, and production disputes

Where CNC Machining Cost Comes From

A CNC quotation includes far more than raw material and spindle time. Understanding the cost structure helps engineering and procurement teams focus on the highest-impact decisions.

TOTAL CNC COST = MATERIAL + ENGINEERING + MACHINE TIME + SETUPS + TOOLING + FINISHING + INSPECTION + LOGISTICS + RISK

1. Raw Material

- ☐ Blank size and shape
- ☐ Minimum order quantity
- ☐ Material yield and scrap ratio
- ☐ Special grades and lead times
- ☐ Material certification and traceability

Important

A finished part weighing 500 g may require a 2 kg—or larger—starting blank. Finished-part weight is not the same as purchased material weight.

2. Programming and Engineering Preparation

- ☐ CAD review
- ☐ CAM programming and toolpath planning
- ☐ Process routing
- ☐ Fixture design
- ☐ First-article preparation
- ☐ Inspection-plan development
- ☐ Non-standard cutting tools and inspection gauges

These are largely fixed costs. A single prototype and a batch of 100 parts both require core programming and process planning, which is why one-off parts usually have a higher unit cost.

3. Machine Time

- ☐ Roughing, semi-finishing, and finishing
- ☐ Drilling, boring, reaming, and tapping
- ☐ Tool changes and probing
- ☐ Part flipping and re-alignment
- ☐ Deburring, cleaning, and in-process measurement

4. Setups and Labor

Every additional setup adds operator time, coordinate re-establishment, clamping verification, alignment risk, and potential scrap. A geometrically simple part requiring six orientations may cost more than a more complex part that can be machined in one or two setups.

5. Finishing and Quality

- ☐ Anodizing, bead blasting, plating, passivation, painting, heat treatment, grinding, and polishing
- ☐ External transport and queue time
- ☐ Masking and rack marks
- ☐ Color variation and dimensional change
- ☐ Secondary inspection and rework risk

The Seven Core Levers of CNC Cost Reduction

Cost-reduction lever	Question to ask	Primary benefit
Simplify geometry	Are there complex features with little functional value?	Less machining time
Relax non-critical tolerances	Does every dimension require high precision?	Less finishing and inspection
Optimize material selection	Are we paying for performance the part does not need?	Lower material and tooling cost
Reduce setups	Can the part be machined from fewer orientations?	Less labor and lower alignment risk
Standardize holes, threads, and radii	Are special tools or uncommon sizes required?	Fewer tool changes and custom tools
Optimize surface requirements	Do all surfaces need premium finish or post-processing?	Lower finishing cost
Adjust sourcing strategy	Are quantity, timing, and order structure economical?	Better absorption of fixed cost

What Engineering Controls

- ☐ Part geometry
- ☐ Material and tolerances
- ☐ Surface roughness
- ☐ Datums and GD&T
- ☐ Inspection requirements
- ☐ Whether parts are split or consolidated

What Procurement Controls

- ☐ Order quantity and delivery cadence
- ☐ Approval of equivalent materials
- ☐ Supplier selection and quote scope
- ☐ Quality documentation
- ☐ Packaging and logistics
- ☐ Annual volume forecast

Best practice

The strongest savings occur when engineering, procurement, and the machining supplier review the project together before the quotation is finalized.

First Principle: Reduce Machining Time

Design Features That Commonly Increase Cycle Time

Feature	Cost impact
Large material-removal volume	More roughing, chips, heat, and machine hours
Deep, narrow pockets	Long tools, slow cutting, vibration, and poor chip evacuation
Many hole sizes and threads	More tools, tool changes, setup checks, and inspection
Small-diameter deep holes	Drift, breakage, coolant and chip-evacuation challenges
Small internal radii	Smaller cutters, lower rigidity, slower feeds, and shorter tool life
Complex 3D surfaces	Longer programming and finishing toolpaths
Large premium-finish areas	Smaller stepovers, slower feeds, polishing or grinding
Multi-directional features	Additional setups, multi-axis equipment, or special fixtures

Reduce Material Removal

☐ Use plate, bar, tube, extrusion, or near-net-shape stock where practical.
☐ For larger volumes, evaluate castings, forgings, or extrusions as starting blanks.
☐ Consider splitting a very bulky part into machinable components when the assembly trade-off is acceptable.
☐ Use pockets, openings, or localized wall thickness only where structural analysis supports the change.

Caution

Less material is not always cheaper. Walls that are too thin can deform, vibrate, or scrap during machining. The goal is stable geometry—not minimum wall thickness.

Limit Premium Finishing to Functional Areas

☐ Mating and locating surfaces
☐ Sealing surfaces
☐ Bearing and sliding surfaces
☐ Cosmetic faces
☐ General machined surfaces
☐ Surfaces with no special requirement

Optimize Pockets, Radii, Holes, Threads, and Thin Walls

Deep Pockets

Deep pockets often require long-reach tools. Longer tools are less rigid and more susceptible to vibration, deflection, chatter marks, slow cutting parameters, accelerated wear, poor chip evacuation, and breakage.

- Reducepocketdepth
- Increasepocketwidth
- Avoidextremedepth-to-widthratios
- Providetool-entryandchip-clearancespace
- Avoidenclosedfeaturesthattrapchips
- Addpracticalcornerandfloorradii

Internal Corner Radii

End mills are round. A smaller internal radius normally requires a smaller cutter, which reduces rigidity and increases cycle time.

- Usestandardcutterradiiwheneverpossible
- Maketheradiuscomfortablylargerthantheminimummanufacturableradius
- Standardizeradiiacrossthepart
- Avoidseveralnearlyidenticalradiusvalues
- Usereliefsordog-bonefeatureswhenassemblyrequiresanominallysquareinternalcorner

Holes and Threads

Design choice	More economical approach
Non-standard hole diameter	Use standard drill and reamer sizes where function permits
Small, deep blind hole	Increase diameter, reduce depth, machine from both sides, or use a through-hole
Thread to the bottom of a blind hole	Specify drilling depth, effective thread depth, engagement length, and bottom clearance separately Limit thread length to the functional engagement requirement
Excessive thread length	Consolidate thread sizes and standards wherever possible
Many thread standards	

Thin Walls

Thin walls may vibrate, bend, distort under clamping force, move with heat, or spring back after machining. Engineering plastics are especially sensitive to heat, moisture, internal stress, and clamping pressure.

- Defineallowabledeformation
- Identifycriticalmeasurementlocations
- Explaintherealassemblycondition
- Confirmwhethertemporarysupportribsorsacrificialfeaturesareacceptable

Tolerance: The Most Common Hidden Cost Multiplier

Rule of thumb
Every tight tolerance on a drawing should have a clear functional reason.

Why Tight Tolerances Increase Cost

- ☐ Additional finishing passes
- ☐ Reduced cutting speed
- ☐ Specialized tools or fixtures
- ☐ Temperature control
- ☐ In-process measurement
- ☐ CMM inspection
- ☐ Rework and scrap risk
- ☐ Supplier risk contingency

Classify Dimensions into Four Groups

Category	Examples	Recommended approach
Critical fit dimensions	Bearing seats, sealing faces, locating-pin holes, shaft fits, precision slides	Control according to actual fit and functional analysis
Functional dimensions	Mounting, travel, clearance, and operating relationships	Use the precision needed for function—not the tightest achievable value
General machined dimensions	Features with no special functional sensitivity	Use a clearly stated general tolerance standard
Non-critical dimensions	Envelope, relief, clearance, and appearance-only dimensions	Apply wider tolerances

Avoid Conflicting Requirements

- ☐ 3D model and 2D drawing dimensions do not match
- ☐ General tolerances, individual callouts, and inspection requirements are inconsistent
- ☐ The same feature is over-constrained by multiple dimension chains
- ☐ GD&T lacks clear datums
- ☐ Surface roughness is applied to the entire part
- ☐ Reference dimensions are treated as inspection requirements

Control Functional Relationships, Not Just Individual Dimensions

Where appropriate, geometric dimensioning and tolerancing can express functional relationships more clearly through position, flatness, parallelism, perpendicularity, coaxiality, profile, and runout. Overly complex or unjustified GD&T, however, also increases inspection cost.

Engineering questions
Does this tolerance directly affect function? Is it required for assembly? Can clearance, shims, or locating features compensate? Is high precision needed only locally? Is 100% inspection necessary, or is first-article plus sampling sufficient?

Material Selection: Do Not Pay for Performance You Do Not Need

Material	Typical strengths	Cost considerations
Aluminum 6061-T6	General structures, housings, brackets, fixtures, consumer products	Good machinability, broad availability, balanced strength, weight, cost, and anodizing response
Aluminum 7075-T6	High-strength lightweight structures, motorsport, aerospace-type applications	Higher material cost; choose only when added strength is justified
Stainless Steel 304	General corrosion resistance and cleanability	Slower machining than aluminum or free-machining steels
Stainless Steel 316	Higher corrosion resistance, marine or chemical exposure	Do not default to 316 without a clear environmental requirement
Carbon / Alloy Steel	Strength, wear resistance, heat treatment	Often economical, but include corrosion protection, heat-treatment distortion, and grinding
Titanium alloys	High strength-to-weight ratio, corrosion resistance, biocompatibility	High stock price, slow cutting, heat concentration, and rapid tool wear
Engineering plastics	Low weight, insulation, friction control, chemical resistance	Precision can be limited by heat, moisture, residual stress, clamping, and rebound

Engineering Plastics Commonly Machined

- ☐ POM / Acetal
- ☐ ABS
- ☐ Nylon
- ☐ Polycarbonate
- ☐ PTFE
- ☐ PEEK
- ☐ PMMA / Acrylic

Selection framework

Separate required performance from preferred performance and non-functional extras. Do not use an expensive material to solve a problem that can be addressed more economically through geometry, loading, or environmental protection.

Reduce Setups and Choose the Right Process

Why Setup Count Matters

- ☐ Operator handling and re-zeroing
- ☐ Locating and alignment time
- ☐ Fixture and soft-jaw requirements
- ☐ Work-in-process waiting
- ☐ First-piece validation
- ☐ Accumulated positional error
- ☐ Collision and scrap risk

3-Axis vs. 5-Axis Machining

Process	Advantages	When it may be economical
3-axis machining	Widely available, lower hourly rate, straightforward programming and inspection	Prismatic parts with accessible faces, holes, slots, and contours
5-axis machining	Fewer setups, access to multiple directions, shorter tools, improved feature-to-feature accuracy	Complex parts where avoided setups, fixtures, and alignment exceed the added machine and programming cost

Key question

Do the setup, fixture, and alignment savings from 5-axis machining exceed the additional equipment and programming cost?

Do Not Mill a Part That Should Be Turned

Rotationally symmetric parts—shafts, sleeves, cylindrical connectors, flanges, threaded fittings, and round sealing components—should be evaluated for CNC turning or mill-turn machining.

Should a Complex Part Be Split?

One-piece machining	Split-and-assemble
Longer machining time, fewer part numbers, higher structural continuity, simpler assembly	Simpler individual components, but added fasteners, welding or bonding, tolerance stack-up, purchasing complexity, and failure points

Always compare total manufacturing cost, not only the quoted price of one component.

Design for Fixturing, Datums, and Tool Access

Provide Clamping Space

Without stable clamping areas, suppliers may need custom soft jaws, vacuum fixtures, dedicated tooling, adhesive workholding, temporary tabs, or extra setups.

- Confirmwhethersacrificialtabsareacceptable
- Identifyallowableclampingzones
- Marksurfaceswhereclampmarksareprohibited
- Define when temporary features may be removed
- Confirmwhetherremovalrequiressecondaryfinishing

Use Clear, Repeatable Datums

☐ Stable planar surfaces
☐ Accessible contact surfaces
☐ Features tied to assembly relationships
☐ References that can be reproduced in both manufacturing and inspection

Avoid Inaccessible Features

Problem feature	Possible consequence
Hole blocked by a side wall	Additional orientation or special-angle tooling
Closed internal corner	EDM, split construction, or redesign
Reverse step or hidden chamfer	Extra setup or special tool
Cross-hole inside a deep slot	Multi-axis machining or part split
Internal thread with no tool access	Special tooling or assembly redesign
Undercut	Lollipop, keyseat, or dovetail tool; extra access and inspection

Design review question

Is every hard-to-reach feature functionally necessary, or can it be opened, relocated, standardized, or eliminated?

Surface Roughness and Post-Processing

Do Not Apply Premium Surface Requirements Everywhere

High surface quality typically requires slower feed rates, smaller stepovers, extra finishing toolpaths, polishing or grinding, and additional inspection.

- Sealingsurfaces
- Slidingsurfaces
- Bearingfits
- Opticalareas
- Definedcosmeticfaces
- Fluid-contactorfriction-criticalsurfaces

Clearly Mark Cosmetic Surfaces

If only the front face requires a premium appearance, mark it. Otherwise, the supplier may assume that all visible surfaces must receive the same treatment.

Common Post-Processing Decisions

Process	Cost drivers and questions
Anodizing	Type, color, thickness, batch size, masking, electrical contact points, cosmetic grade, and color tolerance
Bead blasting	Separate operation; useful for visual uniformity but unnecessary for many internal prototypes
Brushing	Texture direction, consistency, inaccessible geometry, and interaction with anodizing
Heat treatment	Distortion, hardness variation, retained machining allowance, stress relief, final-state inspection, and possible grinding
Multiple finishes	Each extra operation adds handling, minimum charges, queue time, dimensional risk, and re-inspection

Cost warning

A stack such as bead blasting + anodizing + laser marking + local polishing + special packaging can approach—or exceed—the base machining cost.

Inspection Requirements Affect the Quotation

Inspection Is Not a Free Add-On

- ☐ Full dimensional report
- ☐ CMM inspection
- ☐ 100% inspection
- ☐ Material certificate
- ☐ First Article Inspection (FAI)
- ☐ PPAP
- ☐ Hardness, roughness, and coating-thickness tests
- ☐ Profile scanning
- ☐ Special metrology
- ☐ Third-party certification

Match Inspection Level to Project Risk

Project stage	Recommended focus
Prototype	Critical assembly and functional dimensions, material, appearance, and design validation
Pilot / low-volume production	Process stability, repeatability of critical dimensions, finishing results, assembly and functional testing
Production	Sampling plan, control plan, key characteristics, process capability, batch traceability, and regulatory requirements

Before Requiring Full Inspection, Ask

- ☐ Is the part safety-critical?
- ☐ What is the consequence of failure?
- ☐ How has the supplier performed historically?
- ☐ Is the process stable?
- ☐ What is the batch size?
- ☐ Do customer or regulatory requirements mandate documentation?

Practical alternative

For lower-risk parts, first-article inspection plus targeted sampling may provide better value than a full dimensional report for every piece.

Procurement Strategy: Lower Unit Price and Total Cost

Use Quantity Breaks

Programming, process planning, material preparation, and first-article work are fixed costs. Ask for tiered pricing to reveal the quantity breakpoint and whether dedicated fixtures or alternate stock become economical.

Suggested quantities	What the comparison reveals
1 / 5 / 10 / 50 / 100 pieces	Fixed-cost share, price breakpoints, fixture economics, process changes, and alternative stock strategies

Do Not Overbuy for a Lower Unit Price

- ☐ Demand certainty
- ☐ Likelihood of design revision
- ☐ Inventory and cash cost
- ☐ Product life cycle
- ☐ Material aging, moisture, or corrosion risk

Provide a Realistic Lead Time

- ☐ Rush scheduling and overtime
- ☐ Expedited material procurement
- ☐ Priority finishing
- ☐ Express logistics
- ☐ Higher contingency for coordination risk

Combine Similar Parts Where Practical

Parts using the same material, finish, approximate size, and quality requirements may be grouped to reduce material minimums, finishing minimum charges, logistics, and supplier-management effort.

Maintain Strict Revision Control

- ☐ Part number
- ☐ Drawing revision
- ☐ 3D model revision
- ☐ Material
- ☐ Quantity
- ☐ Finish
- ☐ Inspection scope
- ☐ Delivery date

Do Not Compare the Lowest Price Alone

A very low quote may exclude or assume	What to confirm
Different material grade	Exact grade, condition, certificate, and traceability
No finishing or inspection	Included scope and acceptance criteria
Different default tolerances	Applicable standard and critical features
Lower quality level	Cosmetic expectations, deburring, edge condition, and documentation

A very low quote may exclude or assume	What to confirm
Packaging and freight excluded	Incoterm, packaging, shipping, duties, and total delivered cost

Pre-RFQ CNC Cost-Reduction Checklist

CAD and Drawings

- ☐ Are the 3D model and 2D drawing revisions consistent?
- ☐ Have non-functional micro-features been removed?
- ☐ Have unnecessary deep pockets and small radii been avoided?
- ☐ Is there adequate tool access?
- ☐ Have machining directions been minimized?
- ☐ Is stable clamping space available?

Tolerances

- ☐ Are tight tolerances limited to critical dimensions?
- ☐ Is the general tolerance standard clearly stated?
- ☐ Are duplicate or conflicting dimensions removed?
- ☐ Do GD&T callouts use clear datums?
- ☐ Is the inspection scope defined?

Material

- ☐ Does the selected material match the real function?
- ☐ Is a more machinable equivalent acceptable?
- ☐ Is a specific grade required?
- ☐ Is certification required?
- ☐ Can standard stock sizes be used?

Holes and Threads

- ☐ Are standard hole sizes used?
- ☐ Are small-diameter deep holes minimized?
- ☐ Can blind holes become through-holes?
- ☐ Is thread length limited to actual engagement?
- ☐ Are thread standards consolidated?

Surface and Quality

- ☐ Are roughness requirements limited to necessary surfaces?
- ☐ Are blasting, polishing, or anodizing truly required?
- ☐ Are cosmetic and non-cosmetic surfaces identified?
- ☐ Is strict color matching necessary?
- ☐ Are masking areas defined?
- ☐ Is full dimensional inspection justified?

Procurement

- ☐ Have tiered quantities been requested?
- ☐ Is the lead time realistic?
- ☐ Are packaging and freight included?
- ☐ Are all post-processes included in the quote?
- ☐ Is first-article plus sampling acceptable?

CNC Cost-Reduction Priority Matrix

Optimization measure	Savings potential	Implementation difficulty	Recommended priority
Relax non-critical tolerances	High	Low	Highest
Increase internal corner radii	High	Low	Highest
Reduce deep pockets and deep holes	High	Medium	Highest
Reduce setup directions	High	Medium	Highest
Choose a more machinable material	High	Medium	High
Standardize holes and threads	Medium	Low	High
Reduce unnecessary surface requirements	Medium to high	Low	High
Use economical quantity breaks	Medium to high	Low	High
Use standard stock	Medium	Medium	Medium
Split a complex part	Project-dependent	Medium	Evaluate case by case
Use 5-axis machining	Project-dependent	Medium	Evaluate against setup count
Remove all inspection	High risk	Low	Not recommended

Illustrative Case: Aluminum Equipment Bracket

Original design	DFM-optimized design
7075 aluminum	6061 aluminum after load verification
Large solid starting blank	Reduced material-removal volume
Four deep pockets	Shallower, wider pockets
Multiple small internal radii	Standardized larger radii
Six hole diameters and three thread standards	Three standard hole sizes and one thread standard
Tight tolerances on many non-critical dimensions	Tight control limited to locating and assembly features
Fine machined appearance on all surfaces	Standard finish on non-cosmetic faces
Five setups	Two primary setups after geometry revision
Full CMM report	Targeted report for critical dimensions
Black hard anodizing	Standard black anodizing based on actual environment

Result
The combined changes reduce material cost, cutting volume, tool count, tool-change time, setup time, finishing time, inspection time, post-processing expense, and rework risk. The goal is not to delete requirements blindly—it is to ensure every requirement supports a real function.

Conclusion: The Best CNC Savings Happen Before Quotation

Reducing CNC machining cost is not the same as choosing the lowest quotation. A low price can later become a quality issue, delivery delay, rework event, assembly failure, supplier dispute, or project slip.

A Better Cost-Reduction Process

1. Define the part's real functional requirements.
2. Identify the largest manufacturing cost drivers.
3. Prioritize high-cost features with low functional value.
4. Concentrate tight tolerances on critical areas.
5. Choose materials that meet the requirement and machine efficiently.
6. Reduce setups, tool changes, and special processes.
7. Match inspection to project and failure risk.
8. Evaluate total delivered cost—not only unit price.

Final takeaway

A well-optimized part is usually not only less expensive. It is also easier to machine, inspect, reproduce, and scale into production.

Let Unionfab Help Reduce Your CNC Machining Cost

Submit your CAD files and project requirements. Unionfab's engineering team can review:

- Partgeometryandmanufacturability
- 3-axis,5-axis,turning,andmill-turnprocessoptions
- Material selection and equivalent-material opportunities
- Toleranceandsurface-roughnessoptimization
- Deepholes,pockets,thinwalls,radii,andthreaddesign
- Setup count, machining direction, and fixture strategy
- Post-processing and quality documentation
- Prototype,low-volume,andproductioncoststructure
- CNCmachiningversusadditivemanufacturing
- Leadtimeandtotalprocurementcost

UPLOAD YOUR CAD FILES FOR DFM FEEDBACK AND A MANUFACTURING QUOTATION

Get An Expert Quote